UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,735 09/19/2003		Gregory Scott Clark	215.1018.02	6065	
22883 7590 06/22/2007 SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC P.O. BOX 390013 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013			EXA	EXAMINER	
		RUDY, ANDREW J		ANDREW J.	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	-	3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		06/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/666,735	CLARK, GREGORY SCOTT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 and 33 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-21 and 33 is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 22-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 33 are subject to restriction and/or ele	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) \square objected to by the R drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment/e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/22/05,10/31/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

1. Applicant's March 9, 2007 Amendment has been reviewed. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto. Claim 32 has been cancelled by Applicant.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 33 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The computer readable media includes querying an event for information and matching a unique identifier included in the event with the identifier stored in a database. These features are not required for the other elected claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 33 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Thus, claims 11-21 and 33 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 1-10 and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et al., US 7,051,072.

Stewart discloses a supply chain management system comprising trading partners, a central hub location, e.g. 132, 154, and a unique identifier, e.g. claims 3, 11, encrypted messages, and modifying the message content that is processed at the central hub and delivering the content to the trading recipients, e.g. Fig. 6. Stewart does not explicitly indicate querying the central hub. However, the commerce system of Stewart allows for participation of the trading partners in the trading process.

Nonetheless, querying a central hub responsive to an event has been common knowledge in the data processing art. To have provided such querying for Stewart would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as such data transfer has been common knowledge in the art.

- 5. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 6. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted November 22, 2005 has been reviewed. Applicant's IDS submitted October 31, 2003 has not been reviewed as the reference is not within the present file wrapper. Note the attached PTO-1449's.

Art Unit: 3627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627